Report	Para		Recommendation	Priority	Risk	Agreed			Status	Due by:	BRAG	DIRECTOR
Repairs &		The internal requisition form that is currently used by the Investment Team SHL should review the in			Medium		Paul Smith	At the last Governance, Audit and Risk Committee it was repoprted that this	Р,	January 2012	G	Property
aintenance		appears to provide the basis for developing a straightforward checklist for Investment Team, to ensur					(Sean	recommendation had been delayed as a result of changes to shared Standing				
rocurement		officers to record that they have followed the various steps required by steps of the procurement pr					Gallagher)	Orders. That meeting took place before the transfer decision was confirmed.				
ollow-up Review		procedures. By expanding the form and making it simpler for officers to record should be used consistent						Post transfer systems will be managed under SBC procedures.				
2010/11		the application of exemptions to procedures in particular, we believe that the Property and Environment T										
		form would enable SHL to clearly demonstrate that procedures have been used through out property	services, when added to the procurement	nt								
		duly followed in relation to repairs and maintenance procurement. procedure.										
Key Financial	439	Duplicate payment and process inefficiency It is recommended that Stev	nage Homes review their process for submittin	a M	Medium	Agreed	Finance	The Divisional Finance Manager will review the payroll control process, along	N	January 2012	G	Resources
Systems 2010/11	4.0.5		ncil's Exchequer Services to avoid the risk of		wicdiam	ngreeu	Services	with the Divisional HR Manager, to ensure that all payments are properly		5411441y 2012	Ŭ	Resources
093161113 2010/11		duplicate payment and proce	•	51			Support	authorised and duplication and inefficiency removed.				
		dupicate payment and proce	ss memolency.				(Management					
							Accountant)	Work priorities have shifted the target date to January 2012				
Key Financial	4.4.7	Suspense accounts are not cleared on a timely basis leading to a backlog of It is recommended that as	proposed by Management, the monthly review	rs M	Medium	Agreed	Management	The Divisional Finance manager is currently reviewing the weekly and monthly	P 、	January 2012	G	Resources
Systems 2010/11		errors and the incorrect posting of payments. Incomplete information for and clearance of suspense				Ũ	Accountant	routines in order to confirm that current processes are adequate. It is		-		
		decision making. management quality checks	are also undertaken to confirm that Suspens	e			(Lou Scales)	accepted that suspense accounts that form part of the day to day				
		accounts are being cleared	on a timely basis. Where exceptions are foun	d			. ,	management process need to be reconciled regularly to a formal timetable.				
		appropriate training and sup	ort should be provided.					This process will include arrangement for the sign-off of reconciliations as they				
								are completed.				
	450		and an effect of the second decision from the second from		1	A				0040	0	D
Key Financial Systems 2010/11	4.5.3	Out-dated working practices. It is recommended that a for procedure notes.	hal next review date is set to review the creditor	s L	Low	Agreed	Management Accountant	Prioritisation of work matters has resulted in a new target for completion.	N.	January 2012	G	Resources
Systems 2010/11		procedure notes.					(Lou Scales)					
							(Lou Scales)					
Asset	R1	There remain some important risks with the HCA funding award. The final two The contracts for Decent H	omes works going forward need to reflect th	e M	Medium	Agreed	Divisional	The contract will be retendered in time for the 2012/13 programme - so by	Р	April 2012	G	Property
management		years (2013/14 and 2014/15) of funding (£13.9 million of a total of £24.6 uncertainty regarding Govern					Manager,	April 2012 - and will address the uncertainty over funding in future years.				
strategic approach		million) are to be provided in the form of a grant and will be subject to further	3 1 1 1 1				Investment					
review 2010/11		review and may be dependent upon affordability.					(Sean					
(PKF)		If these funds were ultimately not made available to SHL, Stevenage would					Gallagher)					
· · ·		not meet the Government's revised Decent Homes target, whichever of the										
		potential options (1-4) was selected. Even if (the cheapest) option 1 was										
		selected the works would still be underfunded by over £10 million. Evidently										
		the status of this funding will need to be monitored closely in future years and										
		the contractual arrangements with the appointed works contractors will also										
		need to reflect this uncertainty.										
Improvements to		At the time of our review, the Customer Scrutiny Panel had only just been put Once it has had time to h			Low	Agreed	Head of	The Customer Scrutiny Panel (CSP) identified Leasehold Services as a first	Ρ,	January 2012	G	Resources
Governance		into place and it had not therefore had the opportunity to establish its own Customer Scrutiny Panel she		or			Business	pilot review. The CSP will present this report to the SHL Board on 28				
Review 2011/12		independent ways of working and to prove its effectiveness in involving which it was established are	ndeed being delivered.				Improvement,	November 2011 (formerly arranged for 1 December 2011).				
		residents in the provision of housing services.					(James Dean)					
								It was considered appropriate to provide the Board at at the same meeting				
		In accordance with best practice, once it has C4had time to become more						with an overview of the operation of the CSP during the course of the first				
		established, we believe that an assessment should be made of the operation						draft pilot review. However changes since the report mean that a review of				
		of the Customer Scrutiny Panel to ensure that it is meeting its objectives and						the panel will be undertaken post-transfer of the housing services. However				
		the expectations of residents.						the Board will be provided with an update on progress in developing the CSP.				
Improvements to	R 2	We noted that it was agreed that once the final conclusions of the Council's When SHL reconsiders its	top 4 risks over the coming months, the risk	k M	Medium	Agrood	Business	A review of the risk map folloiwng the outcome of the Housing Options	P	December	G	Resources
Governance		Housing Options review are confirmed, the top 4 risks facing SHL and the management actions for ear			medium	Agreed	Manager	Review was presented to the Governance, Audit and Risk Committee in	'	2011	U	17630ulces
Review 2011/12		relevant risk management will be reconsidered. We concur with this approach, more detail.	in hisk should be considered and documented i				(Governance	October 2011.		2011		
		However, we suggest that when this work is undertaken the risk management					and					
		and control activities are set out in more detail than previously so that EMT					Compliance)	The management of risk in the housing service going forward has been				
		and the Board can be certain (and can demonstrate) that they have explored						discussed as part of the transfer project and further work will be undertaken in				
		the ways in which these important matters are to be managed as thoroughly					1	discussed as part of the transfer project and further work will be undertaken in due course.				
		as possible.										

Completed = Blue =	
Exceeded due date = Red =	R
At risk of exceeding due date = Amber =	А
On target to achieve due date = Green =	G